PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Burson	Atty. Dkt. No. 01-7119(PLANP034)		
Application No: 10/788,640	Examiner:	Faulk, Devona E.	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER
Filed: February 27, 2004	Assignee:	Plantronics, Inc.	JAN 16 2006
Title: Flexible Transmit Voice Tube	Art Unit:	2644	

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Notice of Appeal in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated as shown below.

- Applicants hereby petition for a three month(s) extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action.
- Please charge \$1,520 (\$1,020 for three months extension of time and \$500 for this Notice of Appeal) to Deposit Account No. 50-2315 (Order No. 01-7119). A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 is enclosed authorizing a charge of \$_
- Applicant believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 50-2315 (Order No. 01-7119).
- 図 If the required fees are missing or any additional fees are required during the pendency of the subject application, please charge such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2315 (Order No. 01-7119). A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted.

Michael Rodriguez Reg. No. 53,528 Plantronics, Inc. 345 Encinal Street P.O. Box 635

Santa Cruz, CA 95060-0635 Telephone: (831) 458-7490 Facsimile (831) 426-2965

ABCEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

JAN 16 2006

Peter Hsieh
Plantronics, Inc.
345 Encinal Street
P.O. Box 635
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-0635
Telephone: (831) 458-7758
Facsimile: (831) 426-2965

Date: January 15, 2006

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this facsimile message may include confidential and/or attorney-client privileged information and is intended only for the use of the recipient or firm listed below. If the reader of this facsimile is not the intended recipient or authorized to receive for the recipient, the reader is hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, use, or copy of this facsimile or any information contained in this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If the reader has received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify the sender at the above-listed telephone number or small address and return the original facsimile to the sender at the above-listed address via the United States Postal Service. Thank you for your assistance and cooperation.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office,

Fax No. (571) 273-8300 an January 15, 2006. Signed: Author Robust

Signed: Multing floolust Name: Michael Rodriguez

Sender: Michael Rodriguez Reg. No.: 53,528

Re: U.S. Pat. App. No. 10/788,640

Entitled: Flexible Transmit Voice Tube Attorney Docket Number: 01-7119

Pages: 13 (including this facsimile cover page)

To: Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2644
Examiner: Faulk, Devona E

MESSAGE:

Attached are:

- 1. Transmittal (1 page);
- 2. Response to final Office Action (5 pages);
- 3. Notice of Appeal (1 Page);
- 4. Pre-Appeal Bricf Request for Review (1 page); and
- 5. Reasons for Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review (4 pages).

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Burson et al. Attorney Docket No. 01-7119

RECEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER

.

Examiner:

Faulk, Devona E

JAN 16 2006

Application No: 10/788,640

Art Unit:

2644

Filed: February 27, 2004

Title: Flexible Transmit Voice Tube

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January

15, 2006. Signed: Merker Kerker Name: Michael Rodriguez

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant requests review of the final rejection mailed on July 14, 2005 in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheets (4 pages).

Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.

I am the:

attorney or agent of record. Registration Number 53,528.

attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration Number

If the required fees are missing or any additional fees are required during the pendency of the subject application, please charge such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2315 (Order No. 01-7119). A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

January 15, 2006

Date

Michael Rodriguez

Reg. No. 53,528

Plantronics, Inc.

345 Encinal Street

P.O. Box 635

Santa Cruz, CA 95060-0635

Telephone:

(831) 458-7490

Facsimile:

(831) 426-2965

. 3

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of: Burson et al.

Attorney Docket No. 01-7119

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No: 10/788,640

Examiner:
Art Unit:

Faulk, Devona E

JAN 16 2000

Filed: February 27, 2004

Title: Flexible Transmit Voice Tube

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

2644

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January

15, 2006.

Name: Michael Rodriguez

Reasons For Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

ť.

The reasons for the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review are set forth below.

In rejecting claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), the Examiner did not establish that Scott (USPN 4,917,504) discloses or teaches all elements of the claimed invention so as to render the claims anticipated by Scott

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18, and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Scott. However, the Examiner did not establish that Scott discloses each and every element of the claimed invention to render the claims as anticipated by Scott.

Independent claim 1 generally recites a headset having an audio receiver, a headset body, a microphone, and a flexible voice tube that defines a lumen. The flexible voice tube is bendable into a curvilinear operative shape and position while preventing kinking and retains the curvilinear operative shape and position throughout its operative use until further adjustment. The lumen generally extends between an open end of the flexible voice tube to the microphone.

Independent claim 8 similarly recites a voice tube having a kink-resistant flexible tubular member, configured to be bendable into a curvilinear operative shape that is generally retained throughout its operative use until further adjustment is made. Independent claim 15 also

Application Serial No. 10/788,640 Attorney Docket No. 01-7119 generally recites a headset having an acoustic transmission means for acoustic transmission via a lumen, the acoustic transmission means being *kink resistant*, adjustable into a curvilinear operative shape, and generally retaining the curvilinear operative shape until further adjustment is made.

As is known, kink resistance is such that the lumen generally retains its cross-sectional shape and size even when bent to a desired shape. (See also Specification, last sentence of paragraph [0023]). Providing a kink resistant voice tube allows the voice tube to be sufficiently manipulated, without kinking, to position the distal end of the voice tube adjacent to the wearer's mouth for wearers having varying ear-to-mouth distances. In actual use, the voice tube may be bent further for a wearer with a shorter ear-to-mouth distance than for a wearer with a longer ear-to-mouth distance. The kink resistant voice tube allows the voice tube to be bent further without resulting in a kink. Thus a kink resistant voice tube allows the wearer with a shorter ear-to-mouth distance to bend the voice tube as necessary without kinking the voice tube. Having a kink in the voice tube would obviously degrade the acoustic transmission between the open end of the voice tube and the microphone via the voice tube.

In contrast, while Scott discloses a telescoping voice pick-up tube 16 that includes "telescoping portions 16a, 16b allowing tube 16 to be extended or retracted such that the distal end 16c of the pick-up tube may be positioned adjacent to a wearer's mouth," (col. 3, lines 11-18). In other words, the voice tube telescopes to allow a wearer with a shorter ear-to-mouth distance to position the open end of the voice tube near the mouth by retracting the voice tube. Thus, rather than bending the voice tube, the wearer with a shorter ear-to-mouth distance retracts the voice tube.

Scott actually teaches away from providing kink resistance. Specifically, the fact that Scott provides telescoping portions 16a, 16b to allow the pick-up tube 16 to be extended/retracted so as to position the distal end 16c adjacent the wearer's mouth clearly suggests that, the tube 16 is not kink resistant, is not amenable to being sufficiently manipulated so as to position the distal end 16c adjacent to the wearer's mouth for a wearer with a shorter earto-mouth distance, and would not retain its curvilinear operative shape and position throughout its operative use until further adjustment, as is generally recited in each of the independent claims 1, 8, and 15, for example.

Application Serial No. 10/788,640 Attorney Docket No. 01-7119 ħ

Furthermore, Scott also does not disclose that the voice tube 16 can retain a curvilinear operative shape and position throughout its operative use until further adjustment. In particular, while Scott discloses that the voice tube 16 includes a flexible portion 16a, Scott does not disclose that the flexible portion 16a would retain its curvilinear shape after it is bent into an operative shape and position.

Thus, not only does Scott make no mention that the pick-up tube be kink resist, as generally recited in each of independent claims 1, 8, and 15, but by providing the extendibility and retractability of the voice tube, Scott clearly suggests that the tube 16 is neither kink resistant nor would it retain its curvilinear shape and position throughout its operative use until further adjustment, as is generally recited in claim 1, for example.

The Examiner contends that preventing formation of kinks in the flexible tube is inherent in Scott. However, Scott only discloses that the voice pick-up tube 16 be made of "a plastic, or another suitable flexible and lightweight material" (col. 3, lines 19-20). The typical basic flexible plastic tubing, such as a common garden hose, is not, without more, kink resistant. Various properties (such as robustness and flexibility) need to be properly balanced so as to provide kink resistance while allowing manipulation of the voice tube and retaining the curvilinear operative shape and position throughout its operative use, as generally recited in the claims. Scott's rigid portion 16b is provided for the telescoping function, i.e., to allow the rigid portion 16b to extend out of and retract into the telescoping portion 16a.

The Examiner notes that Scott's voice tube includes 16a (flexible portion), 16b (stainless steel or other suitabley rigid material), and 16c (distal end). However, while kink resistance may be provided in a variety of ways (such as those described in the Specification at, for example, paragraph [0024]), simply because Scott's voice tube *could* be made to be kink resistant does not meanthat Scott discloses or suggests a kink resistant voice tube.

Accordingly, Scott fails to teach or suggest that the flexible voice tube be configured to resist kinks as generally recited in each of independent claims 1, 8, and 15.

In view of the foregoing, Scott does not anticipate the claimed inventions under 35 USC §102(b). Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Because the Examiner's rejections of claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18, and 19 include legal deficiencies with regard to under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and the MPEP, Applicants are entitled to a pre-appeal brief review of the final rejection. And based on the foregoing arguments, Applicants request that the rejection of these claims be withdrawn and the pending claims be allowed.

If the required fees are missing or any additional fees are required during the pendency of the subject application, please charge such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2315 (Order No. 01-7119).

Respectfully submitted,

January 15, 2006 Date

Michael Rodriguez Reg. No. 53,528 Plantronics, Inc. 345 Encinal Street P.O. Box 635

Santa Cruz, CA 95060-0635 Telephone: (831) 458-7490 Facsimile (831) 426-2965